UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/537,735	06/07/2005	Mark Anthony Giumelli	112134-ROP01	6650
	7590 05/07/20		EXAM	INER
Procopio Cory Hargreaves & Savitch			MORROW, JASON S	
Suite 2100 530 B Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA	92101-4469		3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/537,735	GIUMELLI, MARK ANTHONY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jason S. Morrow	3612		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>29 and 31</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) <u>30</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 07 June 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	D⊠ accepted or b)☐ objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/05	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/537,735

Art Unit: 3612

Specification

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses the term "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 22, 23, and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 22, line 3, the word "art" should be changed to --an--. In claim 23, line 3, the word "dosed" should be changed to --closed--. In claim 23, line 3, the word "dip" should be changed to --clip--. In claim 30, line 1, a period appears at the end of line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/537,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

5. Claims 17-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 17, in line 15, the phrase "said one end of the or" is indefinite. It is unclear what is claimed by the phrase.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "its leading end" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the bush bore" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the outer cross-section shape of the perimeter frame" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the opposite ends of the bush" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the body portion of the bracket" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the axial length" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 17-28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,735

Art Unit: 3612

7. Claims 29-31 are allowed.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Fengel et al., Ament et al., Guimelli, and Mateny disclose safety screens.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6663.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason S. Morrow Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

April 29, 2007

JASON MORROW

DOMARDY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

4/29/07